Redfern Waterloo Authority Stakeholder Engagement Re Feedback Received From the Exhibition of the Draft Built Environment Plan Stage 2 (BEP2) July 2011 - Figures agreed with SMDA (see note at end)

	Count	Cal %	Report %	Count	Cal %	Report %
1. To gain a better understanding of who has provided feedback, please fill in the following information.						
Are you? (please tick more than one, if necessary)						
A social housing tenant	422	80.69%	76.00%			
Private tenant	15	2.87%				
Home owner within the suburbs of Redfern and Waterloo	43	8.22%				
Owner of an investment property within the suburbs of Redfern and Wa	4	0.76%				
Business owner within the suburbs of Redfern and Waterloo	7	1.34%				
Representing a community/business group or association	6	1.15%				
Member of the general public	19	3.63%				
Other (please specify)	7	1.34%				
	523	1				
Multiple responses	-10					
	513					
How long have you lived in the area?						
0 - 5 years	110	21.44%	21.40%			
6 -14 years	153	29.82%	30.00%			
15+ years	207	40.35%	40.30%			
Not applicable	43	8.38%	8.30%			
	513	1				
Please indicate your age group.						
0-24 years	14	2.76%				
25-44 years	85	16.77%	16.00%			
45 - 64 years	167	32.94% }				
65 - 79 years	173	34.12% }	66.00%			
80+ years	28	5.52%				
No age provided	40	7.89%				
	507	1				
Discrepency	6					
	513					
Please identify your suburb or postcode.				All Submissions from page 24		
2016	231	45.03%	45.00%	244	44.69%	44.00%
2017	207	40.35%	40.00%	208	38.10%	38.00%
None	48	9.36%		48	8.79%	
Other	27	5.26%		46	8.42%	
	513	1		546	1	

2. What are the three (3) most important elements for you as part of the future development of the whole Redfern and Waterloo area?

% of 513 respondents choosing item

	Count	Cal %	Report %			Report %
A better sense of safety	228	44.44%	46.00%			45.00%
Suitability for people with a disability and/or seniors	185	36.06%	37.00%			* tot 56.00%
Better open spaces (playgrounds, parks etc) and vegetation	168	32.75%	34.00%			
Better/improved services/facilities	153	29.82%	31.00%			
More local businesses/shops	142	27.68%	29.00%			
Better/improved look of buildings	129	25.15%	26.00%			
More affordable housing	118	23.00%				
Having a greater mix of people living in the area	117	22.81%	24.00%			
Being close to community facilities	111	21.64%	23.00%			
Better/improved public transport	108	21.05%				
Environmental sustainability	92	17.93%				
Accommodating seniors only developments	92	17.93%	19.00%			* tot 56.00%
More community activities/events	85	16.57%	*Note if 22 made no choice, 90% (aprx 442)*			
Maintaining a sense of history/the past	72	14.04%	*Chose only 3 then the 10% (approx 49)*			
Other (please specify?)	66	12.87%	*averaged 11 choices each!!*			
	1866		3.64 Ave number of choices per respondent			

* A data set excluding the more than 3 answers would be useful to indicate people's priorities

3. The design of future buildings and streets will be developed in the next stages of planning. Please tick the three (3) most important things to you for building design.

% of 513 respondents choosing item

	Count	Cal %		Count		Report %
Safety and security	341	66.47%		340		69.00%
Outdoor space for own use e.g. balconies, courtyard	239	46.59%		238		48.00%
Better energy efficiency	203	39.57%		203		41.00%
Quality of home interiors	155	30.21%		154		30.00%
Clear and well lit walkways	140	27.29%		139		28.00%
Improved communal areas outside and within buildings	138	26.90%		137		28.00%
Disability access	133	25.93%				
Off-street parking	130	25.34%	*Note if 29 made no choice, 90% (aprx 433)*			
Better internal layouts	115	22.42%	*Chose only 3 then the 10% (51)*			
Other (please specify)?	67	13.06%	*averaged over 7 choices each!!*			
	1661		3.24 Ave number of choices per respondent			

* A data set excluding the more than 3 answers would be useful to indicate people's priorities

4. The highest buildings in Waterloo (Matavai and Turanga) are 30 storeys. The highest buildings in Redfern (Poets Corner) are 17 storeys
Draft BEP 2 proposes heights of 4-12 storeys.   Do you have any comments on this?

	Count	Cal %			Count	Cal %	Report %
OK Only	191	37.45%	}		282	55.29%	66.00%
Like 4-12 storeys	91	17.84%	}				
No Comment on heigh proposal	87	17.06%		17.00%	*Note no comment excluded in*		
Other comments	41	8.04%			*text %ages hence 66% not 55%*		
Like ,8 storeys	30	5.88%		7.00%			
Like 6-8 storeys	20	3.92%		5.00%	*Note Main problem is use*		
Dont like 4-12 storeys	15	2.94%		3.50%	*of OK's to factual statement*		
Like 17 Storeys	15	2.94%		3.50%			

Like 30 storeys	10	1.96%	2.00%	*Note Q analized to answer a*
<10 storeys	10	1.96%	2.00%	*different question to that asked*
	510	1		
Discrepency	3			
	513			

5. In BEP2 most of the area is proposed to be zoned as 'general residential', with mixed residential and business zoning along busier roads.

Is it better to have businesses grouped along busy roads and near transport or spread throughout residential areas? (Figures from Table)

Busy roads	182	35.55%	40.00%	170	35.71%
Residential areas	244	47.66%	54.00%	228	47.90%
No Comment	60	11.72%	excluded	55	11.55%
Other Comment	26	5.08%	6.00%	23	4.83%
	512	100.00%	#VALUE!	476	1
Unexplained	1			37	
Any other comments?	513			513	

6. Please list one or two of the most important things that you think can make Redfern and Waterloo a welcoming place for everyone.

Safe Environment	173	40.05%	
More Open Spaces/public domains	73	16.90%	17.00%
Social Mix	70	16.20%	15.00%
More recreational / cultural facilities and events	66	15.28%	
Better / More Shops	48	11.11%	
Community Gardens	28	6.48%	
Quality Homes	31	7.18%	
Better Social Services	23	5.32%	
Information / welcoming packs	4	0.93%	
	516	119.44%	
Responded	432		
No answer	81		
	513		

7. How would you like to be kept informed during this consultation process? calculated from total returns   provided address

No Answer	313	61.01%	excluded	
Mail	120	23.39%	60.00%	32	26.67%
Email	34	6.63%	17.00%	
I do not want further involvement in the consultation process.	46	8.97%	23.00%	
	513	100.00%	#VALUE!	

If you would like to be kept informed, please provide your details

Note: In a number of places the report seeks to compoare responses from Social housing tenats and private owners/tenats It is not clear if the difference between the size of the samples (421 Social and 55 private) provides statistically significant comparisons. This areas should be looked at as part of the proposed peer review of this study.

Note: Reporting does not necessarily reflect what was found in the survey - eg in Q6 Social Mix comes in third mentioned by 15% however this is the only area reported in detail in the report

Note: High scores for "Better sense of Safety" (Q2) and Safety and Security (Q3) seem to indicate respondents wish to see improvements irrespective of renewal and development. Most safety issues come from HNSW management and allocation issues and would not be addressed by changes in the social mix which the report seems to infer.

Notes from SMDA feedback 26 Oct 2011:

(a) The "count data" for Question 6 (corresponding to the bar chart within the report) has now been supplied and incorporated above.

(b) There are some minor errors in percentages generally equivalent to rounding (eg 1% differences).

(c) There are instances in some questions where the number in a table/chart differs by 1 respondent to the number quoted in the text.

(d) The data differences are not considered material to discussion of matters of substance.

The report sought to capture the essence of feedback from forms (and other submission) which was not a strictly scientific exercise, particularly given the open-ended nature of some questions. the report sought to capture feedback from a non-statutory consultation process, and further opportunities for public participation and feedback will occur prior to any controls for the BEP 2 sites being completed.

Analysis prepared from the figures in the original report by Geoffrey Turnbull Spokesperson REDWatch 23Sept 2011 & Updated 26 Oct 2011